

**WPST Work Sta**

Label	T / NT	WS
-------	--------	----

**Table 1: WPST**

WPST-1a	N/A	1,2
WPST-1b	N/A	1,2
WPST-1c	N/A	1,2
WPST-1d	N/A	1,2
WPST-2	N/A	1,2
WPST-3a	N/A	1,2
WPST-3b	N/A	1,2
WPST-4	N/A	1,2
WPST-5	N/A	1,2
WPST-6	N/A	1,2
WPST-7a	N/A	1,2
WPST-7b	N/A	1,2
WPST-8	N/A	1,2
WPST-9	N/A	1,2
WPST-10a	N/A	1,2
WPST-10b	N/A	1,2
WPST-11	N/A	1,2
WPST-12	N/A	1,2

WPST-13	N/A	1,2
WPST-14	N/A	1,2
WPST-15	N/A	1,2
WPST-16	N/A	1,2
WPST-17	N/A	1,2
WPST-18	N/A	1,2
WPST-19	N/A	1,2

## Status Chart

Title

5. Domain industry financial crisis.

6. General financial crisis.

7. Litigation arising from private contract, e.g., Breach of Contract.

8. Technology competing with DNS.

9. Major corruption or fraud.

1. Change authority for the Root Zone ceases to function, in part or in whole.

2. Delegation authority for the Root Zone ceases to function, in part or in whole.

11. Compromise of credentials.

17. ICANN attempts to add a new top-level domain in spite of security and stability concerns expressed by technical community or other stakeholder groups.

21. A government telecom minister instructs ICANN to revoke and re-delegate a country-code top-level domain (ccTLD), despite objections from many current registrants and user communities in the country concerned.

3. Litigation arising from existing public policy, e.g., Anti-Trust

4. New regulations or legislation.

19. ICANN attempts to re-delegate a gTLD because the registry operator is determined to be in breach of its contract, but the registry operator challenges the action and obtains an injunction from a national court.

20. A court order is issued to block ICANN's delegation of a new TLD, because of complaint by existing TLD operators or other aggrieved parties.

10. Chairman, CEO or major officer acting in a manner inconsistent with the organization's mission.

24. An incoming Chief Executive institutes a "strategic review" that arrives at a new, extended mission for ICANN. The Board, having just hired the new CEO, approves the new mission and strategy without community consensus.

12. Capture by one or several groups of stakeholders.

13. One or several stakeholders excessively rely on accountability mechanism to "paralyze" ICANN.

16. ICANN engages in programs not necessary to achieve its limited technical mission. For example, uses fee revenue or reserve funds to expand its scope beyond its technical mission, giving grants for external causes.

18. Governments in ICANN's Government Advisory Committee (GAC) amend their operating procedures to change from consensus decisions to majority voting for advice to ICANN's board.

22. ICANN Board fails to comply with bylaws and/or refuses to accept the decision of a redress mechanism constituted under the bylaws.

23. ICANN uses RAA or other agreements to impose requirements on third parties, outside scope of ICANN mission. Affected third parties, not being contracted to ICANN, have no effective recourse against ICANN. Contracted parties, not being implicated by the requirements themselves, do not avail themselves of mechanisms allowing them to challenge ICANN's decision.

14. ICANN or NTIA choose to terminate the Affirmation of Commitments. (AoC)

15. ICANN terminates its legal presence in a nation where Internet users or domain registrants are seeking legal remedies for ICANN's failure to enforce contracts, or other actions.

25. ICANN delegates or subcontracts its obligations under a future IANA agreement to a third party. Would also include ICANN merging with or allowing itself to be acquired by another organization.

Volunteer/s	Seen CCWG?	Target Date	Status
-------------	------------	-------------	--------

Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft

Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	Yes	20-Mar-15	CCWG Hearing 1
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft
Steve, Cheryl	No	20-Mar-15	Draft

Comments / Pending Issues
---------------------------

Helpful
---------

Helpful
---------

Helpful
---------

Helpful
---------

Helpful
---------

Await CWG
-----------

Await CWG
-----------

Helpful (to be complemented ?); Mathieu provided input on 18 March
--

Enhance community powers
--------------------------

Await CWG; Eberhard to send updated draft for #21
---

Might still be inadequate; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March; 3&4 proposed to be split apart and filled out separately
--

Might still be inadequate; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March; 3&4 proposed to be split apart and filled out separately
--

Await CWG; Sam to update #19 Problem Statement; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March; dependency to CWG defined "counter-party"
--

Might still be inadequate; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March;
---

Adequate in combination; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March;
---

Adequate in combination; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March;
---

Adequate; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March; will update for underlying communities that they have transparent process to
---

May need to adjust individuals vs community powers; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March;
--

Adequate in combination; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March;
Adequate - but GAC engagement needed; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March;
Adequate in combination; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March; No additional input
; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March; clarify language around policy...PDP vs. Registration policies for example; any suggestion to leverage market power is serious issue, does not affect only ICANN, but all contracted parties
Adequate; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March;
Improve and may be adequate (debate on US jurisdiction); Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March;
Await CWG; Reviewed in WPST meeting 18 March;